A Confession of Faith

TOUCHING THE

Holy Trinity,

According to the

SCRIPTURE.

Gal. 1. 8, 9.

Rut if we, or an angel from heaven, (how much more, if Fathers, or founcels?) preach any thing unto you, besides robut we have preached noto you, let him be accursed. As we said before, so say I now again, If any one preach any thing unto you, besides what ye have received, let him be accursed.

I Joh. 2. 24.

Let that therefore abide in you, which yo have heard from the beginning; if that which ye have heard from the beginning abide in you, ye also shall abide in the Son, and in the Father.

LONDON,

Printed in the yeer 1648.



The Preface.

the old complaint, that Truth is a stranger in the earth, even in respect of sundry things exceedingly importing the good of humane

fociety, yet shall we finde, upon a diligent examination of the matter, that this wayfaring condition of truth hath in nothing more disclosed it self, then in the knowledge of the true God. For to omit the fudden and general revolt of the Nations to Idolaery, how unstable and fleeting was this knowledge even in Ifrael it felf, though God had chofen that Nation above all others to be his people? The History of the Old Covenant everywhere relateth how the Ifraelises went a whoring after Idols, and could by no means be held close to the Lord their God. And it had been well for us, if this fickleness of D4

of retaining God in knowledg had not seised Christians also, as formerly it did the Jews. But not onely the Hillory of by-past ages, but even the experience of our owne times abundantly sheweth, how deeply Christians themselves are guilty of making a defection from the true God, being so thickned on their lees, that (did we not look unto the mighty power of God, who onely doth wondrous works) we should conceive it unterly impossible to clarifie them from the filth of their superstition. For though Luther and Calvin deserve much praise for the pains they took in cleaning our Religion from fundry Idolatrous Pollutions of the Romane Antichrift, yet are the dregs still lest behinde, I mean the Grots Opinion touching three Perfons in God. Which error not onely made way for those Pollutions, but lying at the bottome corrupteth almost our whole Religion. For first, it introduceth three Gods, and so subverteth the Unity of God, so frequently inculcated in the Scripture. Neither is it enough for the falving of this absurdity, to say with Athanasius, that though the Father be God, the Son God, the holy Spirit God; yet there are not three Gods, but one God: For who is there (if at least he dare D 4 make

make use of Reason in his Religion) who seeeth not, that this is as ridiculous, as if one should say, Peter is an Apostle, fames an Apostle, John an Apostle; yet there are not three Apostles but one Apostle? If the the word God, taken for the most high God, (as here it is) be predicated of three, it is an Universal (fince not onely Aristotle, but common understanding, sheweth that to be an Universal, which may be predicated of many; that a Singular, which cannot fo be predicated) and consequently there are three Gods: even as the word Apostle being an Universal, and predicated of three, it unavoidably follows that there are three Apofiles. Secondly, it hindereth us from praying according to the prescript of the Gospel. For how can any man pray to God through his Son Jesus Christ, as the Gospel directeth us to do, if God be not the Father onely? Did God confift of the three Persons, would it not, when he invocated God, be all one as if he should say, O Father, Son, and holy Spirit, give me what I ask, through thy Son Tefus Christ; and so Christ be the Son not onely of the Father, but also of the holy Spirit, yea of himfelf? Again, how can any man ask of God the gift of the holy Spirit, 31 brane drawn army from Christ. Nector

if God be not the Father enely, or at most the Father and the Son? would it not, when he invocated God, be all one as if he should fay O Father, Son, and hely Spirit give me your boly Spirit, and fo the holy Spirit be the Spirit not only of the Father and the Son, but also of himself? wherefore let him that entereth into any of our Churches to partake of the publick worthip, but observe, & he shall find that the Ministers in their Prayers do by God mean no other but the Father; for they usually close up their petitions, desiring God to grant what they have begged, for the sake or merits of his Son Jesus Christ, thereby plainly giving us to understand, that by God they meant the Father onely. Which very thing, were there nothing elfe, doth abundantly shew the falsity of their opinion touching three Persons in God. Since after they have most virulearly cryed out both in the Pulpit and Press against the opinion of one God the Father, they do notwithstanding continually make use of the same in their Prayers, and cannot do otherwise. Thus having one while told men that once in Christ, and ever in Christ they do another while bid them take heed of backfliding shewing them to that purpose the great danger they are in of being drawn away from Christ. Neither let

let the Adversaries, to evade this great difficulty, say, that when they pray unto God, through his Son, or for his Spirit, by God is meant but one of the three Persons in the Godhead, namely the Father. For first, this is to beg the question; since to say that God is put for one of the three Persons in the Godhead, is to take for granted that there are three Persons in the Godhead. The contrary whereof is proved by the Argument which we have alledged. Neither will it be amiss by the way to give notice, that when the controversie is about the supream Deity of the Son and Holy Spirit, the Adversaries commonly answer by begging the question. For instance, if it be argued, that the Son cannot be the most high God, because he can do nothing of himself, because all authority in heaven and earth hath been given to him, because the Father is greater then he: it is prefently answered, that their things are spoken of Christ according to his humane Nature onely. Whereas this is to take for granted that Christ hath another Nature besides his humane Nature, namely the nature of the most high God; and so to beg the question. Againe, when it is urged that the holy Spirit is not the most high God, because he allo

also speaketh not of himself, is sent down from heaven, maketh intercession for the Saints with grones unutterable. The usual answer is, that these things are spoken after the manner of men, or, as the Learned phrase it, an sew monas ws, whereby that is attributed to God, which doth not indeed agree to him, but onely to man. Whereas this also is to take for granted that the holy Spirit is God, and so to beg the question. But secondly, were it true that there are three Persons in the Godhead, yet could not the word God be appropriated to one of them, all appropriation being founded upon some excellency and prerogative that one hath above the rest, who are otherwise of the same fort. Which here cannot have place, because the Adversaries hold that every Person of the Trinity is equally God with the others, and that none of them is either before, or greater then another. And indeed, it is impossible to conceive how any one should any way have any excellency and prerogative above him that is the most high God. Thirdly, were it granted that the word God taken for the most high God, is appropriated to one of the three Persons in the Godhead, yet could it at no hand be made use of to distinguish

him from the other Perfons. For how should a word, equally common unto three, not only be appropriated to one of them, but also be set to distinguish him from the others; fince every one can tell that that which is to distinguish and difference one from another, must not be something common unto both, but peculiar to one above the other? Wherefore I desire the Adversaries to confirm this way of distinguishing, which is so rife amongst them, by a like example taken either out of the Scripture, or out of some approved Author. But if they be destitute of examples, let them at least alledge some sufficient reason to evince, that though such distinguishing be not usual, yet it is suitable enough.

In the third place, this Tenet of three Persons in God, prohibiteth us to love and honour him as we ought. For the highest love and honour is due to him who is the most high God. But such love and honour can be exhibited to no more then one Person. For demonstration, the highest love and honoured for himself, and all others for him. As the highest good is that which is desired for it self-and all others for it. Suppose now (what I con-

CCIVC

doth not fay, as much as the Father) not because he hath the same Essence, and so is the same God with the Father, but because the Father bath given him all judgement, John 5. 22, 23. and also delivers this as a general rule, that who soever loveth him that begat, loveth that also which is begotten of him, I John 5.2. making the love to the Father the ground and reason of the love to the Son; and confequently, the love which we bear to Jesus Christ, to spring from the love we bear to God the Father, who hath given to him both his being and dignity, and whatsoever else is lovely in him, (as indeed there is nothing in him but what is very lovely.) As for the holy Spirit though much love and honour is without question due to him, he being the Person to whom under God and Christ we are most beholding, as receiving from him the greatest benefits, yet are we nowhere in the Scripture exprefly enjoyned to love and honour him, (howbeit many, preferring such a Doxologie as was devised by men, before that which is proposed by God himself in his word, commonly ascribe honour and glory to the holy Spirit together with God; which is the less to be wondred at, inalmuch as others flick

not to ascribe honour and glory to the Virgin Mary together with God:) and therefore what love and bonour we are to exhibit unto Him, is with great wariness to be collected out of the Scripture, which not onely faith that He is of God, I Cor. 2. 1 2. and to dependent on God for his being; but also glorifieth Christ, in that He receiveth of his, and declareth it to the Apostles, John 36.14 and so is dependent not onely on God, but also on Christ for his knowledge in the mystery of the Gospel, and therefore is inferior to our Lord Christ Jesus. Which is also further evident by the benefits which we receive from the holy Spirit. For whereas He distributeth to us fundry spiritual gifts, as Tongues, Prophecie, Miraculous Cures, &c. I Cor. 12.8,9, 10. Christ conferrethupon us remission of sins, Act. 10.43. Col.3.13. He is the Earnest (or rather, Pledge) of our heavenly inheritance, Epb. 1.13,14. Christ the bestower of the very inheritance it felf, Mat. 25. 34. Luke 22. 29. John 17. 2. He affureth us that we are the Children of God, Rom. 8. 16. Christ giveth us the priviledge to become the Children of God, Joh. 1.12. He is given to us upon our repentance, Act. 2.38. Christ giveth us the very repentance it felf, Alt. 5.31.

In the fourth place, this affertion of three Persons in God, thwarteth the common notion that all men have of God. For our very understanding suggestern to us, that God is the same with the first cause of all things, he onely being of himself, and all others from him. But if any man, to uphold a prejudicate Opinion, will deny the dictate of his realon, he shall be pressed with the Authority of the Scripture in this behalf; for the Aposile. Rom. 11.36. faith, that of him, (God) and by him, and for him are all things. This being fo, go they not about to deprive us of our understanding, and that in a thing of the greatest importance, even the knowledge of God himself, who bear us in hand that the other two perions besides the Father are also the most high God, when the very appellations that are given to them, do (as we formerly hinted) clearly intimate that they have their being from the Father, and so are caused by him? But can they be the first cause of all things, who have themselves been caused by another? or are not they caused by another, who are begotten from him?

Fifthly, this Error is the main stumblingblock that keepeth the ancient people of God, the Jewes, from entring into the Church of Chailt, inalmuch as they conceive it to be the genuine doctrine of the Christian religion it felf. For they having formerly imarted for their Idolatry, are now grown exceeding cautious of any Tenet looking that way. But this, as we have shewn before, and the Jewes well enough perceive, (who therefore, amongst fundry other things, chiefly object against Christians the common doctrine of the Trinity) maketh three Gods. Wherefore though the Jewes have been justly punished by God with long blindness and hardness of heart, for not receiving our Lord Jesus, when he was fent unto them; yet is it observable that this hath not come to pass without the great fault of Christians also, who quickly turning afide from the straight and easie way of believing in God, let down in the Scripture, and (according to the inbred curiofity of men) hunting after obscurities, have by the cunning of Saran loft themselves in the endless mazes of error and superstition; and erecting a new Babel, confounded the pure and plain language of the holy Spirit with their Trinunities, Coeffentialities, Modalities, eternal Generations eternal Proces-Sions, Incarnations, Hypoftatical Unions, and and the like monttrous terms, fitter for Conjurers then Christians, especially such as profeis to reject the inventions of men, and keep themselves wholly to the word of God.

Sixthly, this Doctrine prohibits the accomplishment of that which God long fince promised by the Prophet Zecharian, ch. 1 4. 9. In that day the LORD shall be one, and his name one (for io ought the words to be rendred, according to the Hebrew.) And I the rather mention this, because our Nation hath by folemn League and Covenant engaged it self to promote this very thing, making use of the Prophets words in the close of the second Article of the Covenant. Go to now, ye that fo much inculcate the Covenant, thundring-out in your Pulpits the judgments of God against the breakers thereof; tell the whether ye of all men are not most guilty of infringing it, and that in the most important Article thereof? do not ye stifly contend that the LORD is three (though there be not so much as one Scripture that faith fo) and accordingly call him Deum Trinum; and that his name is not one, but three, even the Father, the Son, and the holy Spirit? And am not I, who on the contrary maintain that the LORD is one, not three, and to that purpose alledge most express Scriptures, as namely that, Mark 12.29. Hearken, O Ifrael, the LORD our God, the Lord is one (for to should the place be rendred, both because the word one is in the Greek set after the word LORD, and also because the Hebrew word Jehovah, for which LORD is here put, being a proper name, cannot have the word one construed before it;) and that his Name is one, even the Father, as innumerable places the of Scripture testifie: for how often doth the very Apostle Paul wish grace and peace from God the Father? and where doth either he, or any other sacred writer, use fuch an expression as that of God the Son, or God the holy Spirit? Am not I, I say. the object of your hatted for doing thus, and so in effect for keeping the Covenant? & do ye not therefore go about to kill me? Cease therefore any longer to cry. The Covenant, the Covemant, unless ye keep closer to the scope thereof, and using all diligence enquire after the true God, who he is, and how he must be worshipped? To further which enquiry, or or rather to lead you directly to the knowledge of the thing it self. I have here pre-fented you with a Confession of Faith touching the holy Trinity, exactly drawn our of the Scri-

Scriptures, with the texts alledged at large, that so you may the better judge how suitable the same is to the word of God. Neither have I other aim in the publication thereof, then to restore that pure and genuine knowledge of God delivered in the Scripture, and which hath for many hundred yeares been hidden from the eyes of men by the corrupt Glosses and Traditions of Antichrist, who hath in flead thereof obtruded upon them I know not what abourd and uncouth Notions, bearing them in hand that Ignorance is the mother of devotion, and that they then think and speak best of God, when their conceits and words are most irrational and senseless. By which means, having renounced those quiddities and strange terms that have vitiated the simplicity of the Scripture, and having laid afleep the contentarions arising from them, we shall at length unanimously with one mouth glorifie the God and Father of our Lord Jeius Christ.

Amen.

John Biddle.

E3

A

ceive will easily be granted; if not, the Seripture it felf will extort it. which giveth the title of most High to the Father, and thereby differenceth him both from Christ and the hely Spirit; see Luke 1.32,35.) suppose, I fay, that the Father is to be loved and honoured with the highest love and honour; then must he be loved and honoured for himself, and all others for him. If all others, then also the Son and holy Spirit. But if the Son and holy Spirit be loved and honoured for another (as indeed the very appellations of the Son and Spirit of God imply that the one was begotten, the other breathed from God, and so are beholding to another for their being, and consequently for the love and honour given to them) then are they not loved and honoured with the highest love and honour, and so are not the most high God, in that wholoever is the most high God, ought to be loved and honoured in this manner, otherwise some other would have a pre-eminence above him who is the most high God: which every one easily perceiveth to be contradictious. And bleffed be God, who hath not left us to an uncertainty herein, having plainly told us that Christ is therefore to be honoured as the Father (it doth



A Confession of FAITH touching the Holy Trinity, according to the Scripture.

Article I.

most high God, Creator of heaven and earth, and first cause of all things pertaining to our salvation, and consequently the ultimate object of our Faith and Worship; and that this God is none but the Father of our Lord Fesus Christ, the first Person of the holy TRINITY.

Joh 17.3. This is eternal life, that they know thee (Father) the only time God, and Fesus Christ whom thou hast sint. Observe here in the first place, that our E 4 Saviour

(2)

Saviour Christ, setting down those persons, in the knowledge of whom eternal life confifteth, makes no mention of the holy Spirit; whereas, if he were God, the knowledge of him would be as necessary for the attainmment of eternal life, as that of the Father. Secondly, he so describeth the Father, as that he makes him the onely true God, thereby manifeltly excluding any other person whatfoever from being the true God. Thirdly, as for himself, he doth not say that it is eternal lite to know him as eternally begotten, and coeffential to the Father, (both which are contradictious in themselves, and unheard-of in the Scripture) but onely as sent by the Father, and consequently fuch a one as by his will, and in his name managerh the business of our salvation. I Cor. 8. 5,6. Though there be that are called Gods, whether in heaven, or on earth, as there are many Gods, and many Lords; yet to us there is but one God, even the Father, of whom are all things, and me to him; and one Lord, even Fesus Christ, by whom are are all things, and we through him. In this pallage Christ is in express terms excluded from being that one God of Christians, and the holy Spirit in general terms excluded from being that one God, or that one Lord; wherefore if we give fuch credence to the Apostle as we ought, and had not rather hearken to Athanasius then to Paul, we will with Paul confess, that that one God of Christians is no other then the Father of our Lord Jesus Christ, Eph. 4.4.5.6. There is one Body, and one Spirit, even as ye have been talled in one hope of your calling; one Lord, one Faith, one Baptism, one God and Father of all, who is over all, and among all, and in you all. Which passage of the same Apostle clearly intimates the different nature, order, and dignity of the

(3)

the three persons of the HOLY TRINITY, and was written for that very end: For when he faith, that there is one Spirit, he must mean either one created, , or one uncreated Spirit, fince (whatfoever some talk to the contrary) no other kinde of Spirit is conceivable: Not one uncreated Spirit, for so there will be another uncreated Spirit befides God, (which is absurd) fince this Spirit here is plainly and purpolely distinguished from God; wherefore he meaneth one created Spirit: But if so, then there is simply one created Spirit, or one created Spirit by way of excellency onely; not simply one created Spirit, for the Scripture elsewhere mentions feven Spirits of God attending on him, Rev. 1.4. which Beza, Drussus and Mead confess to be meant of seven principal Angels; and the Divine Author to the Hebrews faith of the Angels in general, That they are all ministring Spirits, and consequently created Spirits. It remaineth therefore that there is one created Spirit by way of excellency onely, which is the holy Spirit. In like manner, when the Apostle saith, that there is one Lord, he must mean either one made, or one unmade Lord, fince by the confession of all, there is no medium; not one anmade Lord, for then there will be another unmade Lord befides God, (which is absurd; since this Lord also is here plainly and purposely distinguished from God; wherefore he meaneth one made Lord: But it so, then there is is either simply one made Lord, or else one made Lord by way of Excellency onely; not simply one made Lord, for so there are many Lords, as not onely the Apostle, but experience it felt testifieth. It remaineth therefore that there is one made Lord by way of excellency onely, which is Jesus of Nazareth, who after he had (4)

been crucified by the Tewes, was raifed up from the dead, and exalted by the right hand of God. and by him made Lord and Christ; as Peter, in the beginning of the Gospel, when the holy Spitit was fallen on him, plainly testifies, Act. 2.22, 23,33,36. Wherefore fince neither the holy Spirit is an ancreased Spirit, nor the Lord Jesus an unmade Lord, neither of them, but the Father onely is God (I mean, with the Apostle Paul himself, God by way of excellency; for otherwise he confesseth that there are many Gods, I Cor. 8. 5.) Mat, 24.36. But of that day, and that bony knoweth none, no not the Angels in beaven, but my Father onely. If the Father onely sometimes knew the day of Judgement, then neither the Son, (who, take him how you will, is not the Father, and therefore openly confesieth himself to be ignorant of it, Mark 13.32.) nor the holy Spirit knew it, and consequently neither of them is the most high God, fince he doth, and ever did know all things. Rom. 15.6. That we may with one minde and one mouth elorifie God, even the Father of our Lord Jesus christ. James 3. 9. Therewith bles we God, even the Father; and therewith curse we men, who were made after the likenes of God. John 6. 27. Labour not for the meat that perisheth, but for that meat which endureth to eternal life, which the Son of man Shall give to you: for him bath the Father sealed the God, (so the Original hath it.) In these three passages, God (that is, by the confession of all, the most high God) is by the Scripture it self interpreted the Father, and therefore none but he can be God. John. 8.54. Jesus answered, If I honour my felf, my honour is nothing; it is my Father that honouveth me, whom ye foy that he is your God.

You see here who was the God that the Jewes worshipt, namely the Father: and herein there is no difference between them and Christians, fince the Apostle Paul testifieth, 2 Tim. 1. 3. That be ferved God from his fore-fathers; that is, the same God which he had received from the Jewes his forefathers. See also Act. 3.13, and Chap. 5.30,31. and chap.22.14. In which three places the Farher is called the God of Abraham, the God of Isaac, and the God of Facob; and by that appellation distinguished from the Son; which could not be if the Son were the same God with the Father, since common things do not distinguish, but such as are proper: But if the Son be not the same God with the Father, much less the holy Spirit, since the Scripture abundantly witnesseth that he is sens and disposed of by the Son. Neh. 9.6. Thou, even thou art LORD alone; thou hast made Heaven the Heaven of Heavens, with all their Hoft, the earth, and al things therein. Observe here that the Levites do not lay, Ye, even ye are Lord, but Thou even thou art Lord alone; incimating that one person onely is the most high God, for the word [thou] denoteth a fingle person: And this is the perpetual doctrine of the whole Scripture. But if one person onely be the most high God, this person must of necesficy be the Father, since he, by the confession of all sides, is the most high God. Neither doth that passage, Gen. 1.26. wherein God saith, Let us make man, any whit contradict this truth. For doth it follow from thence that there are several Perfons in God? Might I not by the fame kinde of arguing conclude, that because Christ, Mark 4. 30. faith, Whereunto shall we liken the Kingdom of God, and with what comparison shall we compare it? and

(6)

and John 3. 11. Verily, verily I say unto thee, We freak what we know, and teftite what we have feen, and ye receive not our testimony: therefore there are leveral Persons in Christ > And also, because Paul, 2 Cor. 10.1, 2. faith, Now I Paul my felf befeech you, by the meckness and centlenes of Christ, who in presence amonfe among you, but being absent am bold toward you I fay I bejeech you, that I may not be bold when I am present, with that confidence wherewith I think to be bold against some, who think of us, as if we walked according to the flesh: therefore there are several Persons in Paul? The utmost that can be concluded from this pallage of Genesis, is, that there was some other person with God, whom he employed in the Creation, as of other things, fo of man. Which Person had been before mentioned by Moses, verse 2. where he saith, The Spirit of God moved upon the face of the waters. Thus it is faid of the Lord, Pial. 104. 30. Thou Sendest forth thy Spirit, they are created : and thourenewest the face of the earth: and Job 26.13. By his Spirit be bath garnished the beavens; bus hand bath formed the crooked Serpent. Observe by the way, that these Scriptures plainly intimate that the Spirit was but the instrument of God in creating things, since God is said to have garnished the heavens by bim, and that he was fint by God to that purpole, and so ministred unto him. Moreover, the wife Elihu faith, Job 33. 4. The Spirit of God hath made me, and the breath of the Almighty hath given me life. Which plainly sheweth that the Spirit had a hand in creating man. It was the Spirit therefore, and he onely, to whom God faid, Let us make man. For had the Son of God, Christ Jefus, been also imployed in creating Adam, would DOL

(7)

not he likewise have been mentioned in the history of the Creation? was it not as material, and logether of as great consequence for Moses and the Fewes to have known, that the Son of God, Christ Jesus, was employed by God in creating Adam, as the holy Spirit? But it is well that the holy Scripture, whileft it attribute h creation unto Christ, doth, what by the nature of the thing it felf, what by the circumstances of the places, what by express words, signific that it is meant not of the first and old creation, but of the second and new, confishing in the reduction of things to a new state, condition, or order. Otherwise, had he at first created Adam, how could be himself say, Mar. 19.4. And he (Fefus) answered and said unto them. Have ve not read, that he which made them (Adam and Eve) at the beginning, made them Male and Female? Is not that description, He that made them. &c. made use of to distinguish God from Christ? And doth not Christ here take it for granted, together with the Pharifees, that not himfelf, but another created man? Again, how could Peter say, I Epist. I. 20. Who (Christ) verily was foreordained, (Gr. foreknown) before the foundation of the world: had Christ then had a being? Are not those things onely foreknown, that are to come, and not already in being? Thirdly, how could Paul, Rom. 5. 14. say, After the smilitude of Adam's transgression, who is the figure (or, type) of him that was to come, (or, that was to be, as the Gr. expression à TUT O 78 UEN 101705 doth sign sie; had Christ then not onely had a being, but created Adam? was Adam a type of him that created him was he that created Adam, as yet to be? can it be said of any one, that he is to be, whose person This doth already exuft?

This which we have spoken of the holy Spirit, that he was prefent at the Creation of the world, and is included when God faid, Let US make man, doth clear those other passages of the Scripture where the like expression is used; as Gen. 3. 22. And the Lord God faid, Behold, the man is become as one of Us, to know good and evil. Gen. 11,6,7. And the Lord (aid, Behold, the people is one, and they have all one language: and this they begin to do, and now nothing will be restrained from them, which they have imagined to do. Go to, let Us go down, and there confound their language, that they may not understand one anothers speech. Wai. 6.8. Also I heard the voice of the Lord, saying, whom shall I send, and who will go for Us? For it ought surably to be understood, that by Us in all these places, is meant the Lord with his Spirit; seeing the Spirit is elsewhere called the Spirit of knowledge, Ifa. 11.2. and faid to give diversitie of Tongues, 1 Cor. 12.10.11. Act. 2.4. and Isaiah himself testificth, that both the Lord and his Spirit had fent him, Chap. 48.16. It is also case to conceive that by wisdome, Prov. 8. is meant the Spirit of wildome; for so is the holy Spirit denominated by Isaiahschap. 11.2. and whofoever shall exactly consider what is spoken of the holy Spirit in this passage of Isaiab, and in the history of the Creation, and elsewhere in the Scripture, and compare he with what is spoken of wifdome, Prov. 8. especially if he further add what is more amply declared in the 7.8. and 9. chap. of the wisdome of Solomon, and in the I. and 24. chap. of Siracides, will perceive that as by wisdom is meant a most excellent creature, so that creature is the holy Spirit.

Finally, this intimateth to us, why the said Eli-

bu, Job 35. 10. speaketh on this wife, But none (aub, Where is God my Maker, (Heb. Makers) who giveth longs in the night? The word Makers implyeth that more then one person made man, though in a different order of causality. But inasmuch as God is faid to be the Makers, this intimateth that whatfoever power of making was in any other person employed in that work, it proceeded from God; so that upon the matter God was the Makers.

Article II.

I believe, That there is one chief Son of the most High God, or spiritual, beavenly, and perpetual Lord and King, fer over the Church by God, and fecond cause of all things pertaining to our salvation, and consequently, the intermediate object of our Faith and Worship : and that this Sen of the most High God is none but fefus Christ, the second Perlos of the HOLY TRINITY.

Luke 1. 32. He (Jesus) shall be great, and shall be called the Son of the most High. Where note, that the Son is not equal to the Father, as the very Son himself openly protesticth, Joh. 14.28. where making a comparison, not between any nature of (10)

his that was not a person, but between his own very person, and that of the Father, he faith expresly, My Eather is greater then I. Note, I fave that the Son is not equal to the Father; otherwise the Epithere of Most High could not be appropriated to the Father, and put to diffinguish him from the Son. (as neither could it afterwards, verf. 35. be made use of to diftinguish Him from the holy Spirit, if the holy Spirit were equal to the Father) for how can an expression alike common to twain. be apt to diffinguish one from the other? How is the Father, and that contradiffinctly to the Son. the Most High, if the Son be as High as He? Though some from that mistaken text, Phil.2.5.6. 7, S. would infer the contrary, and to contradict the express words of Christ himself. Whereas, if the place be rightly confidered, it maketh against them; the words and fenle being thus : Let this minde be in you, which ross allo in (brist Fefus; who being in the form of God, (for the exercise and demonstration of divine Power, whereby he wrought Miraeles in as free and uncontrolled a manner as if God himself had been on the earth) thought it not robbery (or, a prey) to be equal with God: (that is, did not effeem this equality of his with God. confisting in the free exercise of Divine Power, to be a prey, by holding it fast, and retusing to let it go, as Robbers are wont to do when they have got a prey, or booty:) but (Gr.) emptied himfelf, (in making no use of the Divine Power within him, to refcue himself out of the hands of the Officers feat to apprehend him and took upon him the form of a servant, (in suffering himself to be apprehended, bound, and whipt, as servants are wont to be) being made in the likenes of men, (that 15,

is, ordinary and vulgar men, who are endued with no divine power.) And being found in fashion, (or, habit) as a man, (that is, in his outward quality, condition, and acting no whit differing from a common man,) be humbled hinsfelf, and became obedient unto death, even the death of the Cros. Now that this place doth not speak of an Incarnation, or Assumption of humane nature, (as they term it) nor of fuch an Equality as is commonly conceived, is evident from all the circumstances. For first. the scope of the Apostle is to exhort the Philippians to humility, and that they would do nothing out of vain-glory. To which purpose, he setterh before them the example of Christ Jesus. And therefore the act of Christ which he doth exemplifie, must be manifest. Since examples are wont to be taken onely from such things as are manifest. But to whom was or could that Incarnation, which Christians commonly talk of, be manifest, when they themselves say it passeth the understanding of Angels to comprehend it? yea that there was any Incarnation at all made, the Scripture nowhere expresly affirmeth, nor can it be so much as proved by any good consequence from thence, as several learned men have shewn. Secondly, the Apolde speaketh of our Lord as a Man, in that he giveth him the titles of Christ Felus, both which agree to him onely as a man. For He is called Jefus, as he was a child conceived of the holy Spirit in the Virgins womb, and brought forth by her, Luke 1.27,30,31,35. and Christ lignifieth the anointed, John 1.41, and accordingby Jesus is expresly called the Christ of God, Luke 9. 20. but he was anointed (as the Adversaries themselves will confess) as a man, and not as God.

See Act. 10.38. Thirdly, he doth not say, that the Son thought it not robbery to be equal with the Father. which words would indeed have plainly thwarted those formerly cited out of the 14 of Fohn: but that Christ Fefus thought it not vobbery, or a prey, to be equall with God. Which cannot be in respect of Fsience; for he must either have the same Essence in number, or a different one. Not the same Essence in number, for then he will not be equal. with God in Essence, but the same: for equality must be in respect of two things different at least in number, otherwise it will not be Equality, but Identity. Thus he that is equal to another in stature, must not have the same stature in number with the other, but different in number, though the same in kinde. But the Adversaries hold that the Father and the Son have the same Essence in number, not in species or kinde. If Christ hath an Effence different in number from that of God, it must needs also be inferior thereunto, there being no Essence equal to his, as every one will confess. Wherefore the Equality aforesaid cannot be in respect of Essence, but of something else. But let it confift in whatfoever you will, it must either be simple & absolute, or else only in part (fince Aristotle, according to the common notion of men,acknowledgeth in his Categories, that Equality admitteth more and less.) Not simple and absolute for then God would not be the most High, since he is not the most High, who hash another simply and absoutel y equal with him. Besides, that description Iwould be superfluous, which the Apostle useth, faying, who being in the form of God; for if this description be, (as indeed it ought to be, and is) pertinent to the thing in hand, it intimateth

ICSI ICSI ICSI

(13)

that this Equality of Christ with God is to be extended no farther, then as he was in the form of God. But the form of a thing, (as appeareth from the common acceptation of the word, and from that following clause, He look anon him the form of a fervant; and also from those words, Mark 16.12. after that he appeared in another form unto two of them, as they walked, and went into the Country,) is fomething visible and outwardly apparent, such as is neither the Effence, nor power of any thing, but onely the exercise and demonstration of power. In the exercise therefore and demonstration of divine power, whereby he did miracles, was Christ in the form of God, and equal to God, as the Apostle John explaineth it, chap. 5.18. saying, Therefore the Terres fought the more to kill him, because be not onely had broken the Sabbath, but said al-So, that God was his Father, making himself equal with God. Which is not so to be understood, as if Christ by calling God his Father, made himself equal with God, (for who feeth not the manifest absurdity hereof, fince the very appellation of Father implyeth a prerogative above the Son (as Christ himself acknowledgeth in the forequoted 14 of Fohn) in that the Son, as he is the Son, is beholding to the Father for his being? Again, the words would then have run thus, thereby making bimself equal with God, not supply, making himself equal with God:) but because by uttering those words, verse 17. My Father worketh hitherto, and I work, he did both fay that God was his Father, and in working made himself equal with God. Furthermore, had Christ been simply and absolutely equal with God, how could he be exceedingly exalted by God, fince by this reckoning he would become higher then God himself? which is not

onely abfurd, out blafphemous to imagine. In the fourth place, had the Apostle here Spoken of an affumption of the humane nature, he would not have faid, that Christ became in the likeness of menand was found in fashion as a man: for if men (as the Advertaries must hold, when they alledge this place to prove that Christ assumed a humane nature, and became man) be here confidered according to their Essence and nature, this would imply that Christ had not the Essence, and Nature, but onely the likeness and fashion of a man, and so was not a true and real man. By men therefore are here meant vulgar and ordinary men, for fo this word is elsewhere taken in the Scriptures, as Plal. 82.6. I have faid ye are Gods: and all of you are children of the most High. But ye shall dye like men, and fall like one of the Princes: and Judg. 16. 7. then ball I be weak, and be as one of men (to the Hebrew, chadh haadam, fignifieth.) See also vers. II. of the same Chap. Fifthly, when it is said, But emptyed himself, (or, as our English Translation hath it, Made bimself of no reputation) this implyeth, that if Christ had not emptyed himself of that divine Form, he had thought it a prey to be equal with God. Which cannot without the implication of a contradiction, or, what is worle, of blasphemy, be affirmed of God. But Christ had thought it robbery, or a prey, to have been equal with God in doing miracles, if he had not laid aside the exercise and demonstration of his divine power, and fallen into the hands of his Adversaries, as a weak and vulgar man. For unless he had done so, he had disobeyed the commandment of God, and consequently thought his divine form to be a prey, not a gift of God;

and that it was to be kept on for his owne glory, not put off for the glory of God. It is therefore evident by what hath been faid, that this place hindereth not, but that we ought to believe that Christ Jesus is simply inferiour to God, and so not God. And indeed, I can never fufficiently wonder at the supidity of men, who because the Apo-Ale faith, That Christ Fesus thought it not robbery to be equal with God, conclude that therefore he is God. For is it possible for any one to be equal with himself? Must not he that is equal with any one, be supposed not to be he with whom he is equal? But let us now proceed to other Scriprures. 1 Cor. 8.6. To us there is but one Lord. even fesus christ, by whom are all things, and we through him. By all things are not here meant all things simply, but all things pertaining to our falvation, as is evident both in that he speaketh of Christians, and also putteth an article before the word all in the Gr. which implyeth a restriction. Acts 2.39. Let all the House of Israel know astured'y. that God hath made this same fessus, whom ye have crucified, Lord and Christ. Phil. 2.9, 10. He (Jefus) humbled himself, and became obedient unto death. even the death of the Croß: Wherefore God hath alfo bighly exalted him, and given him a name that is above every name, that in the name of Jesus every knee should bem, of things in Heaven, and things on earth, and thing's under the earth & that every tongue flould confess that Jesus is Lord, to the clory of God the Father. I Pet. 1.21. Who through him (Jesus) believe in God that raised him from the dead, or gave him glory, fa that your Faith and hope is in God. Joh. 12.44. He that believeth in me (Jesus) believeth not in me, but in him that fent me, Rom. 1.8. I thank my God, through Fefus Christ, that your faith is spoken of through the whole

(16)

whole world. Rom. 16. 27. To the onely wife God, through Jefas Christ, be glory for ever. Thele five places last quoted, show, that the glory & thanks that we give to Christ, and the faith and hope that we place in him, do not rest in him, but through him tend to God the Father, and consequently, that the Son is not equal to the Father, but subordinate to him, I (or. 15.24,25,28. Then cometh the end, when he (Christ) shall have delivered up the Kingdome to God even the Father (Gr. to the God and Father) when he shall bave put down all rule, and all authovily, and power, (or force.) For be must reign till be bath put all the enemies under his Feet. And when all things shall be subdued unto bim, then shall the Son alio himself be subject unto him that put all things under him, that God may be all in all. It is here faid, that Christ shall reign till he hath put all the enemies under his feet; which done, he shall deliver up the Kingdome to God the Father, and become subject unto him. But how could these things come to pals, if Christ were the most High God? Certainly by fo doing, Christ would cease to be the most High God; for without controverse, he to whom any one becometh subject, is higher then he that becometh subject. Neither let the Adverfaries fay, that this is spoken of Christ according to his humane nature onely. For (to omit that this goodly diffinction is nowhere to be found in Gods word) First, this is to take for granted that Christ hath more then one nature, and so to beg the question, whereas it is a signe of a desperate cause, not to be able to answer objections without taking for granted what is in controverfie. Secondly, the Apostle here speaketh of Christ as a person, in that he speaketh of him as reigning, fince none can be a King and reign, but a Per(17)

fon, and that as a person, all Offices being proper to persons: wherefore they must grant, either that the Person of Christ, which they hold to be a person of supream Deity, delivereth up his Kingdome, and becometh subject; or that his Thumane nature (as they phrase it) is a person, and confequently, left there should be two Persons in one and the same subject, and so Christ not be one but two, that he hath no other nature and Perfon. The latter of which subverteth the opinion of the Adverfaries; the first, also it self. Third. ly, it is worth the observing that the Apostle saith, Then shall also the Son himself be subject. But how can the Son himself become subject, if onely a humane nature added to the Son, and not the very person of the Son is subjected? Certainly this place (which is so full and clear, that fundry being convinced by the evidence thereof, have abandoned the common groß opinion of two natures in Christ,) seemeth purposely fitted by God to Rop their mouths, who should go about to elude what is here spoken to shew the subordination and inferiority of Christ to the Father, by faying that the Son shall be subject according to the humane nature onely; for the Apostle most emphatically faith, That the Son also himself shall be subject; so that if there be any nature in him better then other, according to which he chiefly is the Son of God, even according to that shall he become subject. Rom. 10.9. If thou shall confess with thy mouth, that Jefus is Lord, (so the Original hath it, as will further appear by comparing this place with Phil. 2. 10.) and shalt believe with the heart, that God bath raised him from the dead, those Shalt be faved. The Apostle here sets down a brief Symbol

Symbole of the Christian Religion, declaring what is to be both believed with the heart, and confessed with the mouth, concerning the dignity of Christ; and which it we believe and confess, we shall obtain salvation. But how could it be, that if Christ were the most High God, the same with the Father, and had raised himself from the dead. and that by his own power, the Apostle should here affirm. That if we onely confest with the mouth that Fefus is Lord, and believe with the heart, (not that he raised himself, but) that God raised him from the dead, we shall be faved ? Certain I am, that Athanasius in his Creed is far more peremptory; for he faith, That unless a man beloeve that Christ is of one and the same Essence, and confequently one and the same God with the Father, he cannot be faved; whereas the Apostle, speaking of that Faith which is necessary to salvation, intimateth it to be fufficient if we believe that lehis is Lord. Now whether Paul or Athanasius be rather to be credited. I leave it to all Christians to judge. The like may be faid of that passage, Rom: 4. 22, 23, 24. and that Fohn 17. 3. which we also alledged on the former Article; Epb. 4. 4. 5,6. There is one Body, and one Spirit, even as ye have been called in one hope of your calling; one Lord, one Faith, Oc.

Article III.

I believe, That Fesus Christ, to the intent be might be our Brother, and bave a fellow feeling of our infirmities,

and so become the more ready to belp us, (the consideration whereof, is the greatest encouragement to plety that can be imagined) bath no other then a humane nature, and therefore in the wery nature is not onely a Person, (since none but a humane person can be our Brother) but also our Lord, yea our God.

2 Tim. 2.5. There is one God, and one Mediator of God and Men, the Man Christ Fesus, John 3. 13. And no man hath afcended up to heaven, but he that descended from heaven, the Son of man, which is in beaven, or rather, which was in beaven, as the Participle wy in the Greek not onely may (in that it is of the Preserimperfect as well as of the Present tense) but must here be rendred, otherwise these words will contradict those immediately going before: for how could Christ still be in heaven, after he had descended from thence? Againe, he would as a man (for he here stileth himself the Son of Man) be in heaven and on the earth at the same time, which is contessed to be talke) John 6.62. What if ye shall see the Son of man ascend up where he was before (or, had been before?) John 8. 40. But now ye seek to kill me, a man who have told you the truth, which I have heard from God. Joh. 3. 14, 15. And as Moses lifted up the Serpent in the Wilderneß, even so must the Son of Man be lifted up: That who over believeth on him, may not perish, but have eternal life. Mat. 9.6,7,8. But that ye may know that

Marking .

(20)

that the Son of man hath power on earth to forgive sins, (then he speaketh to the fick of the palsie) Arife, take up thy bed, and go unto three house. And be arose, and departed to bis house. But when the mullitudes fam it, they marvelled, and glorified God, which had given such power to men. John 5.22,23. The Father judgeth none, but bath given all judgement to the Son: that all should honour the Son, even as they honour the Father: and verse 7. And (the Father) buth given bim (the Son) authority to execute judgement also, because he is the Son of Man. Mark 2. 28. Therefore the Son of Man is Lord also of the Sabbath. I Cor. 15.21,22. For fince by man came death, by man eame also the Resurrection of the dead: For as in Adam (or, by Adam) all dye, even so in Christ (or, by (brift) (ball all be made alive : and vers. 45. 47. The first man Adam was made aliving foul, the last Adam a quickning Spirit. The first man is from the earth earthly (or, duffy:) the second man is the Lord from beaven. Mat 24.30,31. And they shall see the Son of man come in the clouds of heaven, with power and great glory. And be shall send bis Angels with a trumpel of great found, and they shall gather together bis Elect from the four winder, from one end of beaven to the other. Mat. 16.27,28. The Son of Man shall come in the glory of his Father, with his Angels; and then shall be remard every man according to his doing. Verily 1 fay unto you, There be some standing bere, who shall not take of death, till they see the Son of man come in his Kingdome. Dan. 17. 13.14. 1 fam in the night visions, and behold one like the Son of Man came with the clouds of Heaven, and came to the Ancient of daies, and they brought him near before him (Heb. they offered him before him.) And there was given bim dominion and glory, and a kingdome, that

(21)

that all People, Nations and Languages, should serve him: bis dominion is an everlasting dominion, which (hall not pass away; and his kingdom that which shall not be destroyed. Observe now in the first place, that the most excellent things that are in the Scriprure attributed to Christ, are attributed to him not only under the notion, but also under the very name of a man; as to be a Mediator; to have alcended and been in heaven before his death and Resurrection; to have beard the truth from God; to be believed on unto eternal life; to forgive fins; to have all judgement, and therefore to be bonoured as the Father; to be Lord of the Sabbath; to be the Author of the Resurrection; to be a quickning Spirit; to be the Lord from Heaven; to fend bis Angels, and gather his Elect; to come in his Kingdome, and render a reward to every man according to bis doing; to have an everlasting dominion given to him, that all Nations may serve him. Why then should we imagine another nature in him, belides his humane, to sustain his great dignity? Observe also, that the Scripture in the aforesaid Quotations, whilst it calleth Christ a man, speaketh of him as a Perfon, in that it speaketh of him as a Mediator, Embaffador, Saviour, Lord, Judge, or King, all which are the names of Perions; all actions and offices belonging to Persons onely, as such. Wherefore Christ according to his humane nature is a Person and confequently (unless we will abfurdly hold with Neftorius that he hath two Perfons) cannot be a Person in the divine nature. Deut. 18, 15. The Lord thy God will raise up unto thee a Prophet from the midst of thee, of thy bretheen, like unto me; wito him ye shall beerken. You see here that Christ (fo this is a Prophecie concerning him, as Peter testi-r fierh

(22)

Keth, Acts 3.22.) was to be a Prophet whom the Lord God of the Israelites should raise up unto them of their brethren, like unto Moses, and therefore did not already exist in the time of Moses, much less was the Lord God, unless any one will be so absurd as to say, that the Lord God can raise up himselt for a Propher. Act. 2. 22, 23, 36. Fesus of Nazareth, a Man approved of God among flyou, by miracles, fignes, and wonders, which God did by him in the midft of of you, as ye your selves know: Himbeing delivered up by the determinate counsel and foreknowledge of God, ye have taken, and by wicked hands crucified and flain, whom God hath vaised up from the dead, having loosed the bands (or rather, threes) of death, in that it was impossible he should be beld by it. wherefore being exalted by the right hand of God, and having received the promise of the Holy Spirit form the Father, He bath poured out this which ye now fee and hear: Therefore let all the House of Israel know assuredly, that God hath made this very fesus, whom ye have crucified, Lord and Christ. These words of the Apostle Peter (as well as those of Paul, Eph.4. which were formerly discussed) give clear and full evidence, touching the several nature, order and dignity of the three Persons of the HOLY TRINITY. For first, in that Peter here calleth Jesus a Man, and faith, that God wrought miracles by him, this sheweth that he was not God himfelf, nor wrought miracles by his owne proper power, which naturally refided in him; but was onely the instrument of God in working them. Againe, when he faith, that Tesus being exalted by the right hand of God, and having received the promise of the Holy Spirit from the Father, poured bim out upon his Disciples; this argueth

(23)

gueth, that he gave the holy Spirit as a man Since he could neither be exalted by God, not receive the promise of the Hely Spirit from the Father, but 252 man, (for according to the supposition of the Adversaries themselves, the holy Spirit proceedeth from Christ as he is God, as well as from the Father) and consequently the holy Spirit himself is so far from being God, (inasmuch as it is absurd, yea impossible that God should be received by promise from any one) as that he is not equal to Christ as man, fince his exaltation, because he that is given and disposed of by another, must be inferiour in dignity to him that giveth him. Finally, whereas he faith that God hath made this very Jesus, whom the Jewes had crucified, Lord and Christ; this intimateth, that Jesus, as a man, (for neither could any other but a man be crucified) was made Lord by God, and therefore that his humane nature is a Person, (since nothing but a Person can be made a Lord) so that we need not feign to our selves any other nature in Christ, besides his humane nature, to sustain this Lordship. of his; wherefore by this passage it plainly appeareth. That the TRINITY which the Apostle Peter believed, confisteth of God the Father, of the Man Jesus Christ our Lord, and of the holy Spirit, the Gift of God through our Lord Jelus Christ. Now shall the Apostle Peter, having before atfirmed that Fesus was a man approved of God by miracles, which God did by him, afterwards fay, Let all the House of Ifrael know assuredly, that God hash made him Lord and Christ, this Felus whem ye have crucified. And shall I nevertheless be induced by I know not what forced confequences of Men, repugnant to reason, and the stream of the Scri(24)

proces, in despight of so signal an admonition proceeding from the intullible inspiration of the holy Spirit, to believe that Christ, as to his nature, is not onely a man, but that very God which did those miracles by him, and made him Lord and Christ Far be it. 1 [a.9.6. Unto us a Child is born, unto us a Son is given, and the Government shall be upon his (boulder, and his name (ball be called wonderful (by reason of his Exaltation, which is so ftrange and wonderful, that even the greatest part of Christians cannot believe it, and therefore imagine another nature in Christ, belides his humane nature, asthinking a man uncapable of fo transcendent an Exaltation,) Counjellor, (in acquainting us with all the Counfel of God,) a mighty God (by reason of the Divine Empire over all things, both in Heaven and on earth, conferred on him by the Father; agreeable whereunto, Paul called him a God over all, bleffed for evermore, Rom.9.5.) a Father of the age, (in being the Author of the age to come, as both the Septuagint, and the old Latine Interpreter expound it; or else a Father of Eternity, in being the Author of Eternal Life to all that obey him. For to render the words as the English Translators do, who here call Christ the everlasting Father, is to confound the Person of the Son with that of the Father, and so to introduce Sabellianism,) the Prince of Peace. When the Propher here faith, That the child which was to be born to us, and the Son that was to be given to us, shall be called a mighty God, He sufficiently intimateth, that Christ in his humane nature should be a mighty God, so that we need not fancy any other nature in him.

(25)

John 20.27, 28. Then faith he (Jefus) to Thomas, Reach bither thy finger, and lo my hands; and reach hither thy hand, and thrust it into my side, and be not faithless, but believing: And Thomas faid unto him My Lord, and my God. Observe how Thomas here calleth that man Jesus, whom he saw and felt, his Lord, and his God; but directeth not his speech to I know not what second Person or subsistence of God, which he neither saw nor felt, nor indeed ever was in rerum natura. Joh. 10.33,34,35,36. The Fewes answered bim, faying, For a good work we Stone thee not, but for blasphemy, and because that thou, being a man makest thy self a God (so it is in the Gr.) Fesus answered them, Is it not written in your Law, I faid, Ye are Gods? If he called them Gods unto whom the word of God came, and the Scripture cannot be broken: Say ye of him, whom the Father hath fanctified, and fent into the world, Thou blasphemest, because I said, I am the Son of God? Had Christ been endued with a divine nature, besides his humane, and did his Godhead confift therein (as the Adversaries affirm) it would have been necessary. for answering the Jewes, here to have declared it. They objected unto Christ the crime of blasphemy, for that he being a man, made himself a God; doth he therefore, to decline the imputation of blasphemy, resort to an eternal Generation, or Hypostatical union of natures, laying, If he call them Gods, to whom the word of God came, fay ye of him, whom the Father eternally begot on of his substance, so that he is very God of very God, coef-(ential, cocternal, coequal with the Father, and in whom the humane nature is Hypostatically united to the divine, Thou blasphemest, because I said, I am the Son of God? Nothing less. But on the contrary, he

(26)

he theweth that he is therefore the Son of God. and consequently a God, because the Father had fanctified him and fent him into the world, and fo not for having the divine Nature united to the Humane, but for the sanctification of the Father: Mar. 1.20. Toleph thou Son of David, fear not to take unto thee Mary thy wife: for that which is conceived in ber, is of the Holy Spirit. Had Christ had a Divine Nature in being the Eternall Son of God, the Angel would not have told fofeph, that what was conceived in the womb of his Wife, was of the Holy Spirit, otherwise not only the Humane Nature of the Eternall Son of God, but the very Eternall Son himself. (for the Adversaries hold that he was conceived and born of the Virgin Mary,) would be of the Holy Spirit, and so Christ not onely as Man, but also as the Eternal! Son of God, be caused by the Holy Spirit. The latter of which (though flowing from their opinion touching the Nature and Conception of Christ,) is yet denied by the Adversaries; and so should the former too, since he that was the Eternall Son of God, coeffentiall with the Father, if he would be incarnated, needed not the affistance of the Holy Spirit to furnish him with a Humane Nature from a Virgin, being himself able to produce it of her, unlesse you will say that his own Divine Nature was in the mean time idle. This confideration is fo forcible, that Justim Mariyr, pressed with the difficulty thereof, faith in his Apology to the Roman Emperour, that by the Holy Spirit which came upon the Virgin, and caused her conception, is at no hand to be understood any other then the word or Son of God; contrary to the perpetuall usage of the Scripture, which by the Holy Spirit

alwaies meaneth, not the Second but the Third Person of the HOLY TRINITY, Moreover, were the opinion of the Adverfaries true, that the Son of God came down and took a Humane Nature of the Virgin, the Angel Gabriel, when the Virgin demanded of him, how the thould conceive, would not have answered. Luke 1. 35. The Holy Spirit shall come won thee, and the tower of the Highest shall our shadow thee : therefore allo that Holy thing which shall be born of thee, (hall be called the Son of God: But, the Son of God shall come upon thee, and the Eternall wo. a shall overshadow thee: therefore also that Holy thing which shall be born of thee, being assumed in o the umit of the Perion of the Eternall Nord, Shall be called the son of God. Act. 10. 38. God anointed Fe-In of Nazareth with the Holy Spirit, and with power, who went about doing good, and healing all that were oppressed of the Divel : for God was with him. Luke 22. 48. And there appeared an Angel to him (Christ) from Heaven, flyengthening him Mar. 27. 46. Fefus cried with a loud voice, faying, My God, My God, why bast thou for saken me?

What need was there that the Justin Martyr is holy Spirit should be given un- exceedingly puzto Christ, to enable him to zelled with this domiracles; and an Angel appear from heaven unto him to ftrengthen him; or why should

he so earnestly expostulate with

God for forfaking him, if Christ were he, by whom the First Creation was performed, had a Divine Nature, and was God himself? Could nor he that first created the World, do miracles without being impowered by another? would it be faid of him that had the Divine Nature, that he

objection, in his

conference with

Trypho.

(28)

did miracles, because God was with him, and not rather, because he was God? or needed he in his agony to be strengthened by an Angel would not the Divine Nature in Christ, at this rate, be in the mean time idle and useless? could he that was very God himself, cry-out, My God, My God, why hast thou forfaken me? was he his own God, and had for laken himfel? These things have I here set down our of Zeal to the true Son of God, the Man Christ Jesus, that the Adversaries may by this means be brought to bethink themselves, and not substitute a false one in his stead, namely an Eternall Son begotten out of the substance of God, whereas there is no place in the Scripture that either faith, or intimateth any fuch thing. But they will say, that if Christ were not God, he could not farisfy for our fins: which reason overthroweth it felf, and sheweth their opinion concerning the Divine Nature of Christ to be sictitious. For how can God fatisfy God? can any one make satisfaction to himself? Neither will it relieve them, to reply, that there are severall Persons in God, and so the Second satisfied the First. For it there be Three Persons to whom we are indebted, and but one of them fatisfied, we are in as bad a condition as before, in that we stand in need of some one to make satisfaction to the Second and Third Persons in God. If they further answer, that the Second freely forgiveth us; This will make Him more bountiful then the First, who would not do it without receiving full fatisfaction. But this Doctrine of the fatisfaction of Christ, as well as that of his two Natures, whereon it is (though very ruinously) built, is a meer device of Men, for neither is it expres in Scrip-

oto oto oto

(29)

ture, nor can folidly be deduced from thence, as I could quickly thew, were it not besides the business in hand.

Article IV.

Whence, though be be our God, by reafon of his Divine Soveraigney over us, and Worship due to such Soveraigney, yes is he not the most high God, the same with the Father, but subordinate to Him.

John 20.17. 1 (Jesus) ascend unto my Father, and your Father; and to my God, and your God. Eph. 1.17. The God of our Lord Iefus Christ, the Father of glary. Heb. 1.8,9. But to the Son (or rather, of the Son) be faith, Thy throne, O God, is for ever and ever, a Repter of right confuelle is the scepter of thy Kingdome. Then lovedft Rightcou nelle, and hatedft iniquity, therefore God, (or rather, O Gods) thy God, bath anginted thee with oyl of Gladnesse above thy Fellows. In these places which we have cited, Christ as he is the Son of God, and Lord, yea God, is said to have a God, and therefore cannot be the most High God. Neither will this feem strange to him that considereth the language of the Scripture, which expressy maketh mention of the most High God, Heb. 7. 1. Melchyledeck King of Salem, Priest of the most High God, and calleth the LORD the

(30)

God of Gods, Deut. 10. 17. The Lord your God is God of Gods, and Lord of Lords: Both which places thew that there is one, by way of Excellency, or in the most perfit manner called God, but others in a way of subordination, or lesse perfit manner, among it whom Christ himself, (though otherwise far surpassing the rest,) is notwithstanding ranked, as this place of the Hebrews doth cvince beyond all gainfaying, in that it speaketh of Christ as a God, when it faith he hath a God; so that there is no place left for the Adverfaries to baffle, telling us that this is spoken of Christ as man, or according to his humane Nature. Now for the further clearing of this matter, I will here exactly unfold the Appellation of God, as I find it delineated in the Scripture: for many being ignorant thereof, hold very great and inexplicable errors roushing the Godhead of Christ. First therefore, the Appellation of God denoteth him that hath a supernatural living substance, as Isai. 31.3. The Egyptians are Men, and not God, and their Horses Fl sh, and not spirit. Ezek. 28. 2. 9. Because thy heart is lifted up, and thou (Prince of Tyrus) baft faid, I am a God, I fit in the feat of God, in the midst of the seas; yet thou art a Alan, and not a God, though thou fet thine heart as the beart of God. Wilt thou jay before immshat flayeth thee, I am a God? But thou shalt be a Man, and no God, in the hand of bim that fleyeth thee. Which words plainly incimate that by God is here meant a supernatural living substance, that cannot dy, whereas every Natural living substance may be skain. Thus is the LORD called God, and also the Angels, in that they are immortall. Secondly, him that hath a supernaturall Dominion, or such a Do-

minion as is not managed in a Naturall and Vifible way, but in a hidden manner, exceeding the lanies of nature. As Numb. 27.16. Let the LORD. the God of the Sourits of all nesh, jet a man over the Congregation. Therefore the God of the Suries of men, because he ruleth over them. But such rule or dominion is more then natural, for they that exercise a natural or civil dominion, have power over the flesh onely; hence Paul faith, Eph. 6.5. Servants be obedient to your Masters (or, Lords) according to the fl fb, oppoling them to the Lord of their Spirits. In this sense is the Lord also said to be the God of God, Plal. 136.2. O give thanks to the God of Gods: for his mercy indureth for ever: 7 because he exerciseth dominion over the Angels. Pfal. 102.20. [B' fs the LORD ye bis Angels that excell in strength, that do his commandements hearkening to the voice of his word: |butAngels, (as we formerly hinted are in the Scripture called Gods, as Plal. 97.7. o Ship him, all ye Gods. This cannot be means of Idols, for then the Pfalmift, who everywhere detesteth Idols, should here bid them worthip God; wherefore it is meant of Angels; fee also Psal. 8. 5. Thou hast made Him (man) a little lower then the Angels (Heb. then the Gods, for fo the word Elohim, here used, fignifieth.) Now the dominion which the Lord exerciseth over Angels is not natural or civil, but exceeding the limits of nature, in that the very subjects of this dominion are supernatural. Thirdly, him that hath a fubling dominion conferred on him in a lupernatural way; thus Mofes is called a God, Exod. 7.1. [And the Lord faid unto Mofes, See, I have made thee a God (Heb. Elohim) to Pharach. 7 and Nebuchad-Dezzas, Ezek. 21.11. [I have therefore delivered lum (Phara-

(Pharach) into the hand of the mighty one of the Heath n, (Heb. into the hand of the God of the Nations, Bejad El Gojim) meaning Nelme adnezzar, as appeareth from chap 30,24.] for Alofes had his domin on bestowed on him immediately by God, as the rext it felf sheweth, so also had Nebuchad-Hezzar; fee Jer. 27.45,6. Thus faith the Lord of Bolts, the God of Ifrael, I have made the earth, the man, and the beaff that are upon the ground, by my po per, and by my outfiverched arm, and have given it to whom it leemed meet to me. And now have I given all these Lands into the hand of Nebuchadnezgar the King of Babylon my Servant, and the beafts of the field have I given him allo to ferve him. Fourthly, him that is a bestower of supernatural benefits. Thus is the LORD called the God of Abrahamsthe Gold Isak, and the God of Facob, Exod. 3 6. because he, (as the divine Author to the Hebrews expoundeth it) hath prepared for them a City, even the heavenly Jerusalem, Heb. 11,16. Fifthly, him that is a foveraign Benefactor, bestowing benefits, (though in themselves natural) yet in a supernacural way, As Gen, 17.8. And I will rive unto thee, and to the feed after thee, the Land wherein thou art a stranger, all the Land of Canaan, for an everlasting possession; and I will be their God. Therefore their God, because he gave them the Land of camann, which was done in a supernatural way. For they got not the Land in possession by their owne sword, neither did their owne arm fave them: but the right hand, and thy arm, and the light of thy countenance, beciuse thou hadst a favour to them, Pialm 44.3. see also Exo. 23. 23. Mine Angel shall go before thee, end bring thee in unto the Amorites, and the Hittites, and the Perizzites, and the Canaanites, and the Hivites, and CXXX.CXX

2,CXX),Z,CXX),Z,CX 2,CXX),Z,CXX),Z,CX (33)

and the Febusites, and I will cut them off. In all these respects is Christ now rightly stilled a God, haying a supernatural, spiritual, and immortal substance; a sublime dominion conferred on him in a supernatural way, even by God raising him up from the dead, and fetting him at his owne right hand in the heavenly places; year supernatural dominion, even over Angels and the Spirits of men; being also a soveraign beneractor, as bestowing benefits (though in themselves natural, as health, and the like) yet in a supernatural way: yea bestowing supernatural benefits allo, as the erernal inheritance, and the pledge thereof, the holy Spirit, Neither was he destitute of supernatural dominion, but was a God even whilest he conversed with men upon the earth; for he had not only authority over diseases and devils to cure where, and when, and whom he pleased, but could give authority to his Disciples to cure diseases and cast out devils, and that in his name. See Luke 9. 1. Then be (Christ) called his twelve Disciples together, and gave them power and authority over all Devils, and to cure diseases. Luke 10.16. And the seventy returned agains with joy, saying, Lord, even the Devils are subject unto us through (Gr. in) thy name. Yea some that did not follow him, and so were not his Disciples, could notwithstanding cast out Devils in his name. Luke 9.49. Tobn an wered and said, Master, we saw one casting out devils in thy name, and we forbad bim, because be followeth not with us. And this (to give a hint by the way to them who are inquisitive after the truth) putterh a manifest difference between the manner wherein Christ gave power to the Disciples to cure and cast our Devils, and the manner wherein the G 4

(34)

the holy Spirit; for we read expressly, I Cor. 12.9, 10. That the roly Spirit gave the gifts of healing, and the operations of miracles, (or, as the Gr. hach it, of midry wo hs.) Amongst which mighty works, the catting out of Devils is comprehended. For Christ gal e them power to cure dileases and cast out Devils, in his name; see All. 3. 6. Then Peter faid (to the Cripple) Silver and Gold bave I none, but fuce as I have, I give thee: In the name of fe-Jus Cirrell of Nazareth, rife up and walk: and Act. 16.18. Paul being grieved, turned, and said to the Species I command thee in the name of Fesus Christ to com ou of her. And be came out the same hour. Buc we never read that any of the Disciples did ever perform cures, or cast out Devils in the name of the holy Spirit. But let us now proceed to other testimonics of the Scripture, from whence it may appear, that though Christ be a God, yet he is not the most high God; see 1fa.9.6,7. Unto us a child is boin, and unto us a Son is given, and the Governm nt (ball be upon his (boulder, and his name (hall be called onderful, Counsellor, a mighty God, (so it is in the Original, which bath simply El Gibbor, not Hael Haggibbor, the mighty God, as the Lord of hofts is stilled, Fer. 32, 18.) a Father of the Age, (or, of Eternity) a Prince of Peace. Of the increase of his government and peace there shall be no end, upon the throne of David, and over his Kingdome, to order it and stablish it with judgment and with justice, from henceforth even for ever; the zeal of the Lord of Hosts will perform this. In this passage it is remarkable, that the Prophet, after he had called Christ (for undoubtedly this place doth in the full and perfect sense of the words agree to him, though it might in a restrained manner be applyed to Hezekiah) after, I say, he had called Christ

a mighty God, and given him other excellent and divine Blogies, he faith in the close of all, that the Zeal of the Lord of Hosts will perform thus; thereby diftinguishing Christ from the Lord of Hosts, and making his Godhead dependent on the bounty of the Lord of Hosts, who would out of his Zeal cause Christ to become a mighty God: so that Christ is not the most High God, but a God subordinate to him, which shall be further made appear from the other texts of the Scripture, wherein Christ hath the Appellation of God given to him. The first is, Rom. 9.5. where the Apofile speaketh in this manner; whose are the Fathers, and of whom according to the flesh (brift came, who is over all a God to be bleffed for over. A God, fo the Greek hath it, wherein Oid; is put without an Arricle; and were it here used as a proper name, (for so it sometimes is in the Scripture) the words over all would be needless (being implyed in it) nor could be construed with the same, for is it congruous to fay, who is Moses, or David over all? Neither let the Adversaries here object that Fehovah is a proper name, and yet it is often faid in the Scripture, Fehovab Sebaoth, that is, Fehovab, or the Lord of Hofts: for it is evident from the Scripture, that in this expression there is a defect of the word God, as appeareth from & Chron. 11.9. [fo David maxed greater and greater: for the LORD of Hofs was with him.] compared with 2 Sam. 5. 10. And Lavid went on and grew great, and the LORD God of Hosts was with him. Wherefore the foresaid passage of the Romans doth not shew that Christ is the most High God, but rather the contrary, especially because the place of the Hebrews; which we formerly discussed, plainly giveth us to understand, that Christis so a God over all, as that

(36)

that he himself in the mean time hath a God, For that he is not a God over all none excepted, is apparent, for then he would be a God over the Father also, which every one wil confess to be most false. But we our selves readily grant that he is a God over all fave the Father, who hath fet him at bis own right hand in the Heavenly places, far above all Principality, and Authority, and Power, and Dominion, and every name named not only in this world, but also in that to come. And hath put all things in subjection under his feet, and given him head over all things to the Church, and so made him a God over all, such dignity not being civil, but Divine; Eph. 1. 20,21,22, and who is therefore not only in the 17 verse of the same chap, but elsewhere frequently stiled by the Apostle, the God of our Lord Fesus Christ. Furthermore (that we may add this consideration also, which will not a little clear that passage of the Romans, and confirm our Affertion concerning the Godhead of Christ) when the Apostle sauth that christ came of the Fathers according to the Flesh, who is over all a God to be bleffed for ever, the opposition is not entire and exact, as wanting the other member. What that member is, another passage of the Apostle, wherein you have the same opposition in describing Christ, will inform you, It is Rom. 1. 3, 4. Concerning his Son Fefus Christ, our Lord, who was made (or rather, born) of the feed of David according to the Flesh, and declared to be the Son of God with power (Gr. determined, or ordained son of God in power according to the Spirit of Holiness, by the resurrection from the Dead. Here you see that to those words, according to the Flesh, are opposed these, according to the Spirit of Holiness. Again, what

(37)

what this Spirit of Holiness 15, will be no hard matter to find out, if we consider, that as the Flesh fignifieth a conflituting part of Christ, namely his Fleshly Body; so also must the Sprit of Holinefs, opposed thereunto, fignify a constituting part. It fo, then it is not the Holy Spirit, as eveone will confess. Nor the Reasonable Soule of Christ, because he is intimated to have had this Spirit by means of the Refurrection from the dead, whereas he had a Reasonable Soul before his death. Nor the Divine Nature, for that is nowhere in the Scripture defigned by the name of Spirit, or Spirit of Holmess. Besides the Adversaries hold, that Christ had the Divine Nature whilft he was yet clothed with Flesh. It remaines therefore that by Spirit of Holimess, which Christ had by means of the Resurrection of the dead, and is a constituting part of him, is to be understood his Holy Spiritual Body, whereby he is excepted from other men, being the first-born from the dead, or the first that so rose from the dead, as that he never dyed again, but was clothed with a Spiritual Body, and made like to God, who is a Spirit. And now the sence of that passage beginneth to appear, Heb.9.14. How much more shall the bloud of Christ, who through the Eternal Spirit (Gr. through an Eternal Spirit, for no Article is prefixed,) offered himfelf without foot to God, purge your consciences from dead morks to serve the living God? By Eternal Spirit, is here meant the Spiritual Rody of Christ, which lasteth to all Eternity; and this expression is opposed to what the same Divine Author speaketh of Christ, Heb. 5. 7. who in dayes of his Flesh, &c. for Eternal is contrary to dayes, and Spirit to Flesh. Neither will that which we

have here spoken seem strange to him, who having penetrated into that Profound Epifile to the Hebrews, knoweth (what is there frequently intimased) that Christ then made his offring for our fins, when, after his Refurrection, he entred into Heaven, and being endued with a Spiritual and Immorral Body, presented himself before God, For fo the Type of the Levitical High Priest making the yearly Attonement for the fins of the People (Levit. 16.) did require. For as the Attonement was not then made, when he flew the Beafts, but when, having put on his Linen Robes, he brought their bloud into the fanctuary before the mercy-seats so neither did Christ offer his sacrifice for our fins upon the Crofs, but when after his Refurrection, being clothed with Robes of Glory and Immortality, he entred into Heaven, the true Sanctuary, and presented himself to God. Wherefore (to return to the foresaid passage, Rom. 9.5) when it is here said, Of whom ac ording to the Flesh (for so the Greek hath it) Christ came, who is over all a God to be bleffed for ever, we ought (by the Authority of the Apostle himself) to supply in our mind the other member of the opposition, and to understand the place, as it it had been said, ubo according to the Spirit of Holiness by the Resurrection from the dead, is over all a Got bleffed for ever. But if Christ be according to the Spirit of Holiness by the Resurrection from the dead, (that is) according to his Holy Spiritual Body which he received by means of the Resurrection from the dead, the Son of God in power, and accordingly a God over all, he is not the Son of God in power, and accordingly a God over all, by having the Divine Nature perfonally united to his Humane Nature, but by the Glorification and Exaltation of his very Humane

Na-

(39)

Nature, and so is not the most High God, but a

God subordinate to Him.

The next place is that, John 20. 28, 29. And Thomas answered and said unio him, My Lord, and my God. Fefus faith un'e bim , Thomas, because thou half feen me, bou halt believed. The words of Christ plainly show that Thomas believed him to be his Lord, and his God, because he had seen him, being raifed from the dead. Doth this now argue Christ to be the most High God? Yea it strongly proveth the contrary, in that the Scripture chewhere calleth the most High God, invisible, I Tim. 1.17. and faith, that none of men (to the Greek hath it) hath feen, nor can fee him, IT m. 6 14,15,16. whereas on the centrary it calleth Christ we Image of the invisible God, Col. 1.15. But it is impossible for him that is the Invisible God, to be the Image of the Invilible God, unless any man will be so absurd as to fay, that he is the Image of himfelf. John 1.1. In the beginning (not of the World, but of the Gospel, sce Mark. 1. I. Luke 1. 2. and 1 fob.1.1. and Chap. 2. 7, 13, 14, 24. and 3. 11. and Epiff. 2. 5.6. for these words [in the beginning] are wont to be restrained to the matter in hand, which here is the Gospel, as appeareth from the very appellation of the Word, which is here given to Christ, in regard of his Prophetical Office, in publishing the Gospel) was the word (that is, the Man Christ Fesas called the word, in that He was the immediate Interpreter of God, by whom he revealed his Counfel rouching our Salvation, as we are went to difclose our secrets by our words; which reasen may not obscurely be collected from the 18 version the Same (hapter,) and the word was with God, (being taken up into Heaven, that so that he might talk

(40)

with God, and be indeed his word, or the immediate Interpreter of his Will, and receive the most certain and absolute knowledg of the Kingdom of Heaven, which he was to propose to men: see Joh. 6.28.46,51,62. where Chrift affirmeth, That be came down from Heaven , and had feen God : and that as he was the living bread, which came down from Heaven, where of who soever did eat, should live for ever; so the bread which he would give mas his flesh, which he would give for the life of the wold: And afterwards asketh the Jews, what if they should see the Son of Man ascending up where he mas before? namely, before he began to preach the Gospel, as he himselt intimateth, 70h. 8. 42. where he faith, If God were your Father, ye would love me for I went out from God, and came; for neuber came I of my felf, but He fent me. And John 16. 28. where he faith, I came out from the Father. and came into the world: Again (or rather, on the contrary) I leave the world, and go to the Father. Which going forth from the Father, every one may cafily perceive, by the opposition of the following clause, is meant of a Locall Procession of Christ from God: and that before the discharge of his Embally: for to come, or to come into the world, signifieth to treat with men in the name of God, and to perform a publick office among them; See 10h. 1.15,17.30, and I Joh. 5.20. Mat. 11.3,18,19. Foh. 17.18. compared with Chap. 16.21. and Chap. 18. 37. And the word was a God, (as being endued with divine Power and Empire,) for according to the reasoning of Christ himels, Joh. 10. 35. If the Pfalmist call them Gods, to whom the vocall word of God came, and the Scripture cannot be broken, (as it would, if any one thould deny them

be Gods:) is not he much more a God, who is endued with fo divine a dominion, that he is the very substantial word of God? This passage also sheweth, that Christ is not the most high God, the same with the Father. For when he is faid to have been with God, the word [God] there, by the confession of all, fignifieth the most high God, (fince the very article fet before it in the Greek, importeth so much) and therefore when He himself is afterwards said to be a God, (with the omission of the foresaid arricle in the Greek) neither will the words, nor thing it self, suffer Christ to be the same God with Him, with whom he was; (that is, the most High God) for then he would have been said to be with himself, which is ridiculous. So that these words, which are usually brought to prove the supreme Divinity of Christ, being well examined, do quite overthrow it. Thus have we retorted all the places of the Scripture, wherein the appellation of God is given to Christ, against the Adversaries, shewing from them that Christ is not the most High God. But were all that we have faid, laid afide, this very thing (if men had not renounced their Reafon , and made Nonsense the Mother of their Devotion) is sufficient to decide the Controversie, namely, that Christ is called in the Scripture the Son of the west High God. For if he be both the son of the most Righ God, and the most High God too, he will be the Son of himself, which is abfurd.

Article

Article V.

Again, though be be a God, subordinate to the most High God, as having. received his Godhead, and what soever he bath, from the Father; yet may not any one thence rightly inferre, that by this account there will be another God, or two Gods. For though we may, with allowance of the Scripture, say, that there are many Gods, yet neither will the Scripture, nor the thing it self permit us to say, that there is another God, or two Gods: because when a word in its own nature common to many, hath been appropriated, and ascribed to one by way of Excellency, (as that of God hath been to the Father,) albeit this doth not hinder us from laying, that there are many of that name, yet doth it from faying, that there is another, or two, since that would be all one as if we should say, that there is another, or two most Excellent, (which is ab(urd.) (43)

ablard,) for when two are sogregated in this manner out of many, they claime Excellency to themselves alike. Thus though some faithful man be a Son of God, subordinate to the chief Son of God Christ lesus, yet may we not thereupon say, that there is another Son of God, or two Sons of God, since that would be to make another, or two Sons of God by way of Exacellency, whereas there can be but one such a Son) howbest otherwise the Scripture warrant us to say, that there are many Sons of God.

I Cor. 8.4,5,6. We know that there is no Idol (so the Greek hath it) in the world; and that there is no either God but one. For though there be called Gods, so the Greek hath it) whether in the Heaven, or on the Earth, (as there are many God, and many Lords:) Yet to us there is but one God, the Father, of whom are all the things, (so the Greek hath it) and we for him; and one Lord, fesus christ, by whom are all the things, and we by him. Heb. 2.10. It became Him, for whom are all the things, (so the Greek hath it) and by whom are all the things, (that is, God) in bringing many Sons to Glory, to make the Captaine of their salvation persit through sufferings.

H

-vasmely Anicle VI.

I believe that there is one principal Minister of God and Christ, peculiarly sent from Heaven to sanctific the Church, who, by reason of his eminency and intimacy with God, is singled out of the number of the other heavenly Ministers or Angels, and comprised in the Holy TRINITY, being the third person thereof; and that this Minister of God and Christ is the boly Spirit.

John 14.26. But the Comforter, (or rather, Advocate, as the word in the Greek importeth, and Beza accordingly rendrethit, Advocatus) which is the Holy Spirit, whom the Father will fend in my name, He shall teach you all things, and bring all t'ings to your remembrance, whatfoever I have faid untayou. Where note by the way, that the holy Spirit is called the Advocate (which very appellation sufficiently intimates, that he is not that Supream and independent Monarch Fehovah,) chiefly for two Reasons. I. Because he instructeth the Saints, especially when they are brought before perfecuting Rulers, how to plead their owne, and their Master Christs cause: See Mat. 10. 17, 18, &c. Fobn 16. 7. &c. In opposition whereunto, the unclean Spirit Satan is called the Adversarie, I Pet, 5. 8. namely, in that he fuggesteth

(45)

gestath slanders, and false accusations to the men of this world, against Christ and his people; see 704n 8.38,44. Secondly, Because when the Saints fink under some great pressure and affliction, and are at a loss, not knowing which way to turn themselves, nor what to pray for as they ought, then comes in the holy Spirit to their affistance, and intercedeth with most earnest and unexpresfible groans to God in their behalf, Rom. 8.26, 27. In opposition whereunto, the unclean Spirit, Satan, is called the Accufer of the Brethren, in that he accuse them night and day before the throne of God, Rev. 12.10. Job 1. 9. and chap. 2.4.5. Note alfo, that the holy Spirit is faid to be fent, and that in the name of another, yea of a man (fince not onely the thing it felf, but also the whole tenour of Christs discourse intimateth, that he speaks of himselfas a man,) but it is absurd to say, that the most High God can be fent, (fince that is proper to Inferiours and Ministers;)more absurd yet to fay, that he'can be sent in the name of another; but most absurd of all to say, that he can be fent in the name of a man. Joh. 15. 26. But when the Advocate is come, whom I will fend you from the Father, even the Spirit of truth, that proceedeth(or, goeth out) from the Father, He shall testifte of me. This description of the holy Spirit, namely, that he proceedeth from the Father, serveth to thew both the Reason of our Saviours former words, wherein he had said that He would fend the holy Spirit from the Father, and also that the holy Spirit is of most intimate admission with the Father; and as I may fo speak, Legatus a latere. And indeed, were not men blinded with Romish Tradition, they would never draw such a monstrous conclusion from these words, as they H 2

(46)

are wont to do, namely, because the holy Spirit is here said to proceed from the Father, that therefore he receiveth the the Divine Essence, and consequently is God, by eternal procession from the Father, (for as for his procession from the Son, though that be rife in mens mouths, yet doth not the Scripture make mention of it anywhere:) Which Essential and Eternal Procession is not enely in it felf abfurd, but hath also no good footing in this text, (nor pretendeth to have footing in any other,) and is therefore to be rejected, as a bold and senseless figment of mans brain. For observe that it is not here said of the holy Spirit, innoedierae in ex Ma Jos, he proceedeth out of the Father, (though even then that Effential Procession could not have solidly been inferred thence, for euroediest, or (which is all one) eξελθεν έκ πν Θ, being spoken of a Person, is wont to be understood of a Local Procession; See John 8.42. Act. 15.24. 1 John 2.19.) but Euwogdistas mapa is Halls, i. be proceedeth from the Father. Now Enmogdied, nagg. Tho, i. to proceed from one, being spoken of a person, every puny in Greek can tell fignifieth his going from ones house, or presence, and so intimates onely a Local Procession; which made Beza, in his Annotations on this place, ingenuously confess, that this Description concerneth not the Essence of the holy Spirit. Wherefore this place is so far from proving, that it quite subverteth the supposed Deity of the holy Spirit, fince, if he were God he could not locally proceed from any one, inafmuch as he would then not onely be in anothers Mansion, but also change place; whereas God, by the contession of all, as he cannot be in any Mansi(47)

on that is not his owne, so neither doth he thift place: John 16.7,8,&c. Nevertheles, I tell you the truth; it is expedient for you that I go away: for if I to not away, the Advocate will not come unto you: but if I depart, I will fend him to you. And when be is come, he shall convince the world of fin, of righteousness, and of Judgement. I have yet many things to fay unto you, but ye cannot bear them now. Howbeit, when He, the Spirit of truth, is come, he [hall lead you into all the truth (namely, of those things which Christ had yet to fay to them;) for he shall not speak of himself; but what seever he shall hear, that shall be speak, (which words clearly intimate, that the holy Spirit could not lead the Disciples into all the truth of those things that Christ had yet to say to them, unless they were first dis-closed to the Spirit himself by Christ) and he shall them you things to come. He shall glorifie me, for he shall receive of mine, and shew it to you. This thing doth indeed fer forth the transcendent glory of Chrift, namely, that whereas he himself, while he led a mortal life here on the earth, was wont in many things to be taught by the Spirit; See Isa. 11. 1, 2, yet after his Exaltation, he should not onely fend the holy Spirit, but also give him Infructions concerning what he was to make known unto the Disciples. The fulfilling whereof may be feen in the three first Chapters of the Revelation. For he that there speaketh to John, is not Jesus Christ himself, both because in the entrance of Chap.r. it is said that Jesus Christ signified the Revelation to his fervant John, not by himself, but sending by his Angel; and also because in the 13 verse of the same Chap. John saith that he sam one like to the Son of Man; but if fo, then he was not (48)

not the Son of man himself. Who that Angel therefore is that there speaketh to John, in the person and name of Christ, may easily be garhered from the Epiphonema, or Acclamation, pur at the close of every Epiftle directed to the leven Aftan Churches, where the Angel having before fooken in the person of Christ, now speaketh in his owne person, saying, He that hath an ear to hear, let him hear, what the Spirit faith unto the Churches; thereby fufficiently giving us to understand that he was the holy Spirit, who being appointed by Christ to guide and instruct his people, ought to be hearkned to. Epb.4.4,5,6. There is one Body, and one Spirit, even as ye have been called in one Hope of your calling; One Lord, one Faith, one Baptisme; One God and Father of all, who is over all, and among all, and in you all, I Cor. 12. 4.5.6. There are diversities of Gifts, but the same Spirit. And there are diversities of Administrations, but the same Lord. And there are diversities of Operations, but it is the same God that workern all in all, (namely, as the primary Author; whereas the Lord lefus worketh them as the secondary efficient; and the holy Spirit as the instrument, to whom, as being a most wife and faithful Steward and Deputy(they are the Expres-* Prefer. Adv. fions of * Tertullian, who truely Haret, chap. 28. and appositely called the holy Spirit, Patris villicum, Christi vi-

carium) God and Christ gave leave, for the confirmation of the Gospel, to distribute the spiritual Gists here specified, according to his owne will, as you may see both in the 11 verse of this very chapter, and Heb.2.3.) These two passages, though we could produce no others out of the Scripture,

(53)

a Foster-child, or (as the Septuagint intimate) an Artist) and I was dayly his delight, rejoycing alwaies before him: Rejoycing in the habitable part of his earth, and my delights were with the fons of men. Is it possible for the wit of man, with any probability to devise how these things should be applyed to that which is no Person? Who this Person is, I have formerly shewn, even the Person under contestation, the holy Spirit, who moved on the waters, when God was about to create the world; whose delights are with the sons of men; and he accordingly called the Spirit of grace, for the favour that he beareth towards them; who may aptly be called wisdome, and say, Counsel is mine, I have strength; being the Spirit of wildom, counfel, and might, or strength, as Isaiah, chap. II. teffifieth. Againe, how could Christ say, that the Spirit (hould not speak of himself, but what he should bear, if he were not a Person? how, that he should receive of his, and declare it to the Disciples? Cettainly they that adhere to the doctrine of Sociaus touching Christ (wherein without question that man faw the truth) must either renounce it, and return to Athansfius, or embrace this which I hold touching the Person of the holy Spirit. For is it imaginable that the holy Spirit, being the power and efficacy of God, immediately flowing out of his Essence, should hear from Christ, and receive of his, when in the mean time neither is himfelf a Person, nor hath Christ the same divine Essence? Furthermore, how could the holy Spirit learch all things, even the depths of God? 1 Cor. 2. How make intercession for the Saints with grones unutterable? Rom. 8. How could be fay to the

Christians at Antioch, Separate me Barnabas and Saul, for the work whereunto I have called them? Act. 13.2. How to Peter, Behold, three men feek thee; Arife therefore, and get thee down, and go with them, doubting nothing; for I have fent them? How could it be said of lum, that he distributeth Spiritual Gifis as he will? I Cor. 12. 11. How could we be exhorted by the Apostle, not to grieve the holy Spirit? Eph.4.30. How finally could Christ command his Apostles to baptize all the discipled Nations into the name as of the Father, and the Son, so also of the holy Spirit? If these things, and sundry more which may be alledged out of the Scriprure, do not evince the holy Spirit to be a Perfon, what can? But the Adversaries, with whom we have now to deal, will object, that several things are in like manner ascribed to the holy Spiru, which agree not to a Person. Thus is he said to be an earnest (or rather, as the Greek word appacov fignifieth, a Pledge. Sce Gen. 38. 17,18. Η ή લેમાન દ્વેષ δώς μοι αρβαδώνα, έως το Δπος ελαί हर. 'O है से मह, त्रिय में बहुक्दि बिंग्य रिशंका करा; that is, according to the English Translation, Wilt thou give me a Pledge till thou fend it? And he faid, what Pledge shall I give thee? See also verse 20. κομίσα δαι παρά τ γιω αικός τ' α ρραβώνα, to receive the Pledge from the womans hand : I and to be thed upon the faithful; and they said to be anointed, baptized, and sealed with the holy Spirit; and God to give of his Spirit. But it is easie to shew that such things as these are in the Scripture, and other approved Writers, attributed to Persons; but such Personal things as we have before rehearfed concerning the holy Spirit, are never in the Scripture, or other approved Authors, (unless

(53) 55

less Poets, to whom liberty of Fiction is granted, and who consequently may make Persons of what they please attributed to them that are not Persons. For instance, Terence in Heaut. Ast. 3. Sc. 3. calleth a Damici a Please, saying,

Ea mortua est. Reliquit filiam adolescentulam : Ea relicta huic arrhaboni est pro illo argento.

which place further sheweth the true signification of Arrhabe to be that which we formerly affigued. Likewise Paul faith, Put ye on the Lord Jesus Christ, Rom. 13. 14. And, My little Chvilden, of whom I travel in birth again untill Christ be formed in you. Gal. 4. 19. And, As ye have received Christ Jesus the Lord, walk ye in him, Col. 2. 6. And, Ye are our Epistle writen in our hearts, known and read of all men, 2 Cor. 3.2. And, The Seal of my Apostle-Thip are ye in the Lord. I Cor. 9. 2. And Christ bimself, None can come unto me, except it were given (or rather, except there be given) to him of my Father. (the Gr. hath it, de 78 Haffe, the same expression that is used, when it is said, that God hath given us of his Spirit, I John 4. 13. ch TE modifica-76 aure.) You see by what hath been alledged, that either the very same Impersonal Expressions, which are attributed to the Holy Spirit, are also attributed to other Persons, or other expressions altogether as far distant from Personality. Wherefore it will be far more fuitable, by a Metonymie, or Metaphor, (usual enough in such cases) to salve these sew Impersonal Expressions, attributed to the Holy Spirit, being a Person, as the tenor of the Scripture exhibiteth him to us; then by a Profopopæia, (which must of necessity prove very uncouth

uncouth and monstrous,) to clude those many Personall Expressions, attributed to the Holy Spirit, being no Person, as only some few places feem to hold him forth to us. Having fufficiently afferted the Personality of the Holy Spirit, let us now in the close of all speak briefly of what is peculiar to him. I omit what Siracides faith of the Holy Spirit under the name of wisedome, he being the Spirit of wisedome, namely that became out of the mouth of the most High, Chap. 24.3. and consequently had his production in that manner, being, (as another wife man also speaketh of him under the name aforesaid) a vapor of the power of God, and a sincere emanation (or, effice) of the Glory of the Almighty; wifd. Salom. chap. 7. 25. to which accordeth that of Elibu, Job 33.4. The Spirit of God hath made me, and the breath of the Almighty bath given me life. Where (after the manner of the Hebrews) the same thing is repeated in different words, the Breath of the Almighty being put for what had in the former part of the sentence been called, the Spirit of God. And methinks the very appellation of the Spiritef God, doth of it felf sufficiently intimare, that what those two forequoted writers speak of wifedom, is applicable to the Holy Spirit. But these things (as I said before) I now omit, enquiring only what are the peculiar Priviledges, and Operations of the Holy Spirit. His peculiar Priviledg therefore is, that he only of all the ministring Spirits, being of a more pure and penetrating nature, and of more intimate admission, is first acquainted with the depths, or profound secrets of God, as hath been before argued in this very Article, out of I Cov. 2. 10, II. where when the Apostle saith, who of men knoweth the things of a man,

authei

strict fairned fairned

(57)

fave the Spirit of a man that is in him? Even fo the things of God knoweth none, fave the Spirit of God: the other member, necessary to make the opposition compleat, is to be supplied in our mind, and the place understood, as if the Apostle had said, Even fo the things of God knoweth none of the Mini-Aving Spirits or Angels, fave the Spirit of God; as I before have evinced. Neither let any man take offence, whilft I incimate the Holy Spirit to be an Angel, for though he were not expressly so called in the Scripture, (as I verily beleeve he is, though the places are not such as to be altogether free from cavil,) yet is the thing it felf beyond all controversie ascribed to him. For demonstration, the word Angel Originally Greek, and the Hebrew Malak answering thereunto, signifieth any Messenger whatfoever, but is in Scripture oftentimes appropriated to fignifie a Spirit or Heavenly Meslenger. In both which respects the Holy Spirit is an Angel, being not only a Messenger, but a Spiritual Messenger sent out of Heaven, as Peter testifieth, I Pet. 1.12. As for the Peculiar Operations of the Holy Spirit, the first is sanctification, performed by imparting Spiritual Gifts unto them, whereby they are confecrated and let apart to the service of God, see I for. 6.11. But ye are washed, but ye are sanstified, but ye are justified in the name of our Lord Fesius, and by the Spirit of our God. By Santification cannot here be meant, the cleahfing of the Corinthians from the filth of their fins, for that is expressed by mashing; but the confectating of them to God, by conferring on them Spiritual Gifts, see also 1 Cor. 12. 4, 11. There are diversities of Gifts, but the same Spirit. Now all thefe worketh one and the same Spirit, distributing to

(58)

every one, as he will. For though other good Spirits are also employed about the Faithfull, for they are all ministring Spirits, fent out to minister for their lakes, that shall inherit salvation, as the Divine Author to the Hebrews testifieth, cha. 1.14. and do not only guard, by pitching their tents round about them. Pial. 34.8. but also inspire them as they prophefie and speak with strange tongues, (which sheweth how the Holy Spirit may inspire divers at the fame time) feet Cor. 14.12,13,14,15. Even Some, for asmuch as ye are zealous of Spirits, (so the Greek hath it, as the Translators themselves in the Margin confess, who not understanding the thing it felf, did in the text for Spirits put Spiritual Gifts,) feek that you may excell (Gr. abound) to the ediffing of the Church. Wherefore let him that (peaketh in an unknown tongue, pray that he may interpret. For if I pray in an unknown toneme, my Spirit prayeth, but my understanding, (or, mind) is unfruitfull. What is it then? I will pray with the Spirit, and will pray with the understanding also: I will fing with the Spirit, and will fing with the understanding also, See also verse 32. And the Spirits of the Prophets are Subjett to the Prophets. Behold here in the words which I have cited, there is twice mention made of spirits in the Plural number, whilft the Apoltle difcourseth of them that spake with strange tongues, and Prophesied. Neither can the Understandings or Minds of the Linguists and Prophets be understood by those Spirits, since the Apostle, verse 14. purreth a manifest difference between the Spirit, and the Understanding or Mind of him that spake in an unknown tongue. Neither are Spiritual Gifts! meant, for they are in Greek called med manual, w Spirituals, I Cor. 13. I. not aveumara, Spirits. Ind remain(59)

remaineth therefore that Ministring Spirits are meant, who inspired the several Linguists and Prophets, and are therefore faid to be subject to the Prophets, because they could either make use of and urter their Inspirations, or suspend the use of the fame, by permitting others to speak, inasmuch as those Spirits die not hurry the Prophets so violently, as evil Spirits are reported to drive falle Prophets amongst the Heathen, otherwise God by giving them to the Prophets in the Church. would be the Author of tumult and confusion. but not of order; whilst every one that was inspired at the same time with another, was necessitated to utter his inspiration as well as he. Though other good Spirits, (I fay,) are employed about the Faithful, in the exercise of Prophese and strange tongues, yet the assignation and conferring of those Gifts peculiarly belongeth to the Holy Spirit, as the Apostle clearly testifieth. The second peculiar Operation of the Holy Spirit, is to give Believers access through Christ to the Father, Eph. 3. 18. For he being the Spirit of Adoption. doth witness to their Spirits that they are the Children of God, and so maketh them to cry Abba Father, Rom. 8. 15. 16. and consequently is the Pledge of their Inheritance, Eph. 1.13, 14. (for fo I before thewed that the word appacay in the Grought to be rendred, and not Earnest, as the English Tranflators have it,) some other things pethaps there be, as the ordering of matters in the Church, and ferting of Officers therein, and also laying of burthens upon Christians, (see Act. 15. 28.) which feem to be peculiar to the Holy Spirit above others, yet fince the Scripture doth not Lay, that none fave the Spirit doth the fe things, or that

(60)

one and the same Spirit doth them, I dare not so considertly to affert them for peculiar Operations of the Holy Spirit, contenting my self with those which the Scripture doth consostedly point-out for such.

assault projects not burry the limphors to visplently, agreed that are reported to direct falls. Prophers among the Hearingt outsiness Condby same that he has not required at the Churchy would be the fall and or amount at decomplish, burnessian to the life company that was inferred act at the control of the property of the red to utter his adventured as well as he. Though other results are to the large control of the control the Fourham on the exercise of Prophers and

france congress, are the allig site and confercent of thole Gife, peculiarly belonged to the daly Spire, and S. I.M. Harly critical Time to peculiar operation of the Holy Spire, it

the s. 2. For he being the speed of Adactions 2. Adactions 2. Superior of Adactions 2. Superior Speed of the speed of Adactions 2. Superior Speed of the speed of